# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

	Western District of Missouri	
David Faltermeier	)	
	)	
V.	) Case No.: 4:15-cv-00491	-DGK
FCA US LLC	)	

	,			
BILLO	OF COSTS			
Judgment having been entered in the above entitled action on	Plaintiff D	David Faltermeier		
the Clerk is requested to tax the following as costs:	Date			
Fees of the Clerk				§ 0.
Fees for service of summons and subpoena				1,950.
Fees for printed or electronically recorded transcripts necessarily				14,782.
Fees and disbursements for printing				1,742.
Fees for witnesses (itemize on page two)				8,563.
Fees for exemplification and the costs of making copies of any m			• • • •	
necessarily obtained for use in the case		•		87.
Docket fees under 28 U.S.C. 1923				0.
Costs as shown on Mandate of Court of Appeals				0.
Compensation of court-appointed experts				4,080.
Compensation of interpreters and costs of special interpretation s	services under 28 U.	S.C. 1828 .		0.
Other costs (please itemize)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			1,019.
			TOTAL	§ 32,225.
SPECIAL NOTE: Attach to your bill an itemization and docume	ntation for requested	d costs in all	categories.	
·	laration			
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing cosservices for which fees have been charged were actually and necin the following manner:  Electronic service  Other:		A copy of the		
s/ Attorney: s/ Sharon B. Rosenberg				
Name of Attorney: Sharon B. Rosenberg				
For: Defendant FCA US LLC			Date: _	04/13/2017
Name of Claiming Party				
Taxatio	on of Costs			
Costs are taxed in the amount of			and inc	luded in the judgme
By:	Deputy Cl			
Clerk of Court	Deputy Cl	erk		Date

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Witness Fees (computation, cf. 28 U.S.C. 1821 for statutory fees)										
	ATTEN	TTENDANCE SUBSISTENCE		SUBSISTENCE		CE SUBSISTENCE		EAGE	Total Cost	
NAME , CITY AND STATE OF RESIDENCE	Days	Total Cost	Days	Total Cost	Miles	Total Cost	Each Witness			
Danielle Mitchell Bush 1662 NW 200th Rd, Kingsville, MO 64061	1	38.00	0	0.00	24		\$38.00			
Mark Arndt, Transportation Safety Technologies PO Box 30717, Mesa, AZ 85275	2	6,525.00					\$6,525.00			
Elizabeth Howlett, Ph.D. 3458 N. McArthur Ct., Fayetteville, AR 72703	1	2,000.00					\$2,000.00			
							\$0.00			
							\$0.00			
							\$0.00			
					TO	OTAL	\$8,563.00			

### NOTICE

## Section 1924, Title 28, U.S. Code (effective September 1, 1948) provides:

"Sec. 1924. Verification of bill of costs."

"Before any bill of costs is taxed, the party claiming any item of cost or disbursement shall attach thereto an affidavit, made by himself or by his duly authorized attorney or agent having knowledge of the facts, that such item is correct and has been necessarily incurred in the case and that the services for which fees have been charged were actually and necessarily performed."

#### See also Section 1920 of Title 28, which reads in part as follows:

"A bill of costs shall be filed in the case and, upon allowance, included in the judgment or decree."

# The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure contain the following provisions: RULE 54(d)(1)

Costs Other than Attorneys' Fees.

Unless a federal statute, these rules, or a court order provides otherwise, costs — other than attorney's fees — should be allowed to the prevailing party. But costs against the United States, its officers, and its agencies may be imposed only to the extent allowed by law. The clerk may tax costs on 14 day's notice. On motion served within the next 7 days, the court may review the clerk's action.

# **RULE 6**

(d) Additional Time After Certain Kinds of Service.

When a party may or must act within a specified time after service and service is made under Rule5(b)(2)(C), (D), (E), or (F), 3 days are added after the period would otherwise expire under Rule 6(a).

### **RULE 58(e)**

Cost or Fee Awards:

Ordinarily, the entry of judgment may not be delayed, nor the time for appeal extended, in order to tax costs or award fees. But if a timely motion for attorney's fees is made under Rule 54(d)(2), the court may act before a notice of appeal has been filed and become effective to order that the motion have the same effect under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4(a)(4) as a timely motion under Rule 59.